

## Design of current profiles resembling drive cycle characteristics for Li-ion battery model estimation

W. Dhammika Widanage<sup>1\*</sup>, Anup Barai<sup>1</sup>, Kotub Uddin<sup>2</sup>, Andrew McGordon<sup>1</sup>, and James Marco<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>WMG, University of Warwick, Coventry. CV4 7AL. U.K <sup>2</sup>Ricardo-AEA, 55 Bryanston Street, London W1H 7AA. U.K.

Accepted for publication on 1st June 2015

When estimating the parameters of a Li-ion battery Equivalent Circuit Model (ECM) the Hybrid Pulse Power Current (HPPC) profile is often the signal used for model estimation. Once a model is estimated, a drive cycle current profile is used as a validation signal. A drive-cycle profile, in contrast to a pulse signal, is more dynamic in both the amplitude and frequency bandwidth. As such modelling errors can occur when using HPPC data for parameterisation since the model is optimised over a narrower bandwidth than the validation profile. A signal more representative of a drive cycle, while maintaining a degree of generality, is needed if such modelling errors are to be reduced.

In this presentation a signal design technique is presented whereby superimposing a signal known as a multisine to a base-signal consisting of a charge, rest and discharge segment, a current profile more dynamic in amplitude and frequency bandwidth, and thus more similar to a drive cycle, can be obtained. This signal is defined as a pulse-multisine. The design procedure maintains a generality allowing it to be designed for other drive-cycle profiles. The pulse-multisine signal is intended to be used as a substitute for a HPPC test. Furthermore, the experimentation time, per state- of-charge (SoC) and temperature, for the pulse-multisine is several minutes compared to several hours for an HPPC experiment.

Keywords: Multisine signals, Drive-cycle, Li-ion battery